## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the REC'D 2 4 JUN 2005 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2005/000627 21.02.2005 19.02.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F17/30, H04M1/725 Applicant QUALCOMM CAMBRIDGE LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin

Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 Bowler, A

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-448



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000627

_				<u> </u>
_	В	ox N	No. I Basis of the opinion	
1	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			nternational application in
			This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes or under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	language into the following f international search
2.	. W ne	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			,
			a sequence listing	
			table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:			
			in written format	
			in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:			
			contained in the international application as filed.	
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the supplies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	4. Additional comments:			
	Во	x No	o. II Priority	
1.	⊠	req	ne validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has quired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless be sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority	s been claimed or, where
2.			is opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fa s been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opi ng date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	ct that the priority claim nion, the international
3.	Ado	lition	nal observations, if necessary:	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000627

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-12

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/093877 A (NOKIA CORPORATION; NOKIA INC) 21 November 2002

(2002-11-21)

D2: US 2002/078143 A1 (DE BOOR ADAM ET AL) 20 June 2002 (2002-06-20)

#### 2 **INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1**

- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the 2.1 subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 1. A method of rendering a user interface (102) for a device (100), the method comprising the steps of

providing a plurality of actors (106), each of the plurality of actors being associated with a user interface element (fig. 1a- fig. 1d) and comprising one or more attributes defining the respective actor(profile data, personal data);

providing a renderer to receive one or more attributes (108 Client WAP program) from one or more of the plurality of actors; and rendering the user interface in accordance with the received attributes. (page 8, lines 3-10)

Claim 8 is a device claim which is analogous and treated in like manner.

#### 3 **INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8**

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

Briefly discussed the following features are to be found in D1 as follows: user interface (figure 2)

plurality of actors (figure 3, element 104)

renderer (figure 3, elements 112, 114, 116).

Note also the description at paragraphs 70-88.

## 4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7, 9-12

Dependent claims 2-7, 9-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

### D2 discloses:

- 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein if an 'actor attribute is updated, the update is received by the renderer and the user interface is updated accordingly. (D2 page 8 lines 12-34)
- 3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the an actor attribute is updated in response to a user update. (D2 page 8 lines 12-34)
- 4. A method according to claim 2, wherein the updating of an attribute causes the formatting of a user interface element to change. (inherent)
- 5. A method according to claim 2, wherein the updating of an attribute causes a user interface element to move within the user interface. (inherent)
- 6. A method according to any preceding claim wherein the actor attributes comprise mark-up language and the renderer is a mark-up language renderer. (page 4, line 27 to page 5, line 24).
- 7. Claim 7 data carrier comprising executable code (page 5, lines 15-24).

Claims 9-12 define trivial details clearly found in both references D1 and D2.